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## Optimization of SVM

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{w_{i}, b, \xi_{i}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} w_{i} w_{j} k\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)+C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i}, \\
& \text { subj. to }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Y_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} k\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) w_{j}+b\right) \geq 1-\xi_{i}, \\
\xi_{i} \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Quadratic programming (QP). Special case of convex optimization.

The QP for SVM can be solved in the above form, but the dual form is easier.
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## Convexity I

For the details on convex optimization, see [BV04].

- Convex set:

A set $C$ in a vector space is convex if for every $x, y \in C$ and $t \in[0,1]$

$$
t x+(1-t) y \in C
$$

- Convex function:

Let $C$ be a convex set. $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a convex function if for every $x, y \in C$ and $t \in[0,1]$

$$
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq t f(x)+(1-t) f(y)
$$

- Concave function:

Let $C$ be a convex set. $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a concave function if for every $x, y \in C$ and $t \in[0,1]$

$$
f(t x+(1-t) y) \geq t f(x)+(1-t) f(y)
$$

## Convexity II



convex function

concave function

## Convexity III

- Fact: If $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function, the set

$$
\{x \in C \mid f(x) \leq \alpha\}
$$

is a convex set for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

- If $f_{t}(x): C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(t \in T)$ are convex, then

$$
f(x)=\sup _{t \in T} f_{t}(x)
$$

is also convex.


## Convex Optimization I

- A general form of convex optimization
$\mathcal{D}$ : convex set in $\mathbb{R}^{n} . f(x), h_{i}(x)(1 \leq i \leq \ell): \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, convex functions on $\mathcal{D}$. $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, b_{j} \in \mathbb{R}(1 \leq j \leq m)$.

$$
\min _{x \in \mathcal{D}} f(x) \quad \text { subject to } \begin{cases}h_{i}(x) \leq 0 & (1 \leq i \leq \ell) \\ a_{j}^{T} x+b_{j}=0 & (1 \leq j \leq m)\end{cases}
$$

$h_{i}$ : inequality constraints,
$r_{j}(x)=a_{j}^{T} x+b_{j}$ : linear equality constraints.

- Feasible set:

$$
\mathcal{F}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{D} \mid h_{i}(x) \leq 0(1 \leq i \leq \ell), r_{j}(x)=0(1 \leq j \leq m)\right\} .
$$

The above optimization problem is called feasible if $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$.

## Convex Optimization II

- Fact 1. The feasible set is a convex set.
- Fact 2. The set of minimizers

$$
X_{o p t}=\{x \in \mathcal{F} \mid f(x)=\inf \{f(y) \mid y \in \mathcal{F}\}\}
$$

is convex. No local minima for convex optimization.
proof. The intersection of convex sets is convex, which leads (1).
Let

$$
p^{*}=\inf _{x \in \mathcal{F}} f(x)
$$

Then,

$$
X_{o p t}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{D} \mid f(x) \leq p^{*}\right\} \cap \mathcal{F} .
$$

Both sets in r.h.s. are convex. This proves (2)

## Examples

- Linear program (LP)

$$
\min c^{T} x \quad \text { subject to }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A x=b, \\
G x \preceq h . .^{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The objective function, the equality and inequality constraints are all linear.

- Quadratic program (QP)

$$
\min \frac{1}{2} x^{T} P x+q^{t} x+r \quad \text { subject to }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A x=b \\
G x \preceq h
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $P$ is a positive semidefinite matrix.
Objective function: quadratic.
Equality, inequality constraints: linear.
${ }^{1} G x \preceq h$ denotes $g_{j}^{T} x \leq h_{j}$ for all $j$, where $G=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}\right)^{T}$.
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## Lagrange Dual

- Consider an optimization problem (which may not be convex):
(primal) $\quad \min _{x \in \mathcal{D}} f(x) \quad$ subject to $\begin{cases}h_{i}(x) \leq 0 & (1 \leq i \leq \ell), \\ r_{j}(x)=0 & (1 \leq j \leq m) .\end{cases}$
- Lagrange dual function: $g: \mathbb{R}^{\ell} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty)$

$$
g(\lambda, \nu)=\inf _{x \in \mathcal{D}} L(x, \lambda, \nu)
$$

where

$$
L(x, \lambda, \mu)=f(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{i} h_{i}(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \nu_{j} r_{j}(x) .
$$

$\lambda_{i}$ and $\nu_{j}$ are called Lagrange multipliers.

- $g$ is a concave function.


## Dual Problem and Weak Duality I

- Dual problem

$$
\text { (dual) } \quad \max g(\lambda, \nu) \quad \text { subject to } \quad \lambda \succeq 0 \text {. }
$$

- The dual and primal problems have close connection.


## Theorem 1 (weak duality)

Let

$$
p^{*}=\inf \left\{f(x) \mid h_{i}(x) \leq 0(1 \leq i \leq \ell), r_{j}(x)=0(1 \leq j \leq m)\right\} .
$$

and

$$
d^{*}=\sup \left\{g(\lambda, \nu) \mid \lambda \succeq 0, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^{m}\right\} .
$$

Then,

$$
d^{*} \leq p^{*} .
$$

The weak duality does not require the convexity of the primal optimization problem.

## Dual Problem and Weak Duality II

Proof. Let $\forall \lambda \succeq 0, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$.
For any feasible point $x$,

$$
L(x, \lambda, \nu)=f(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{i} h_{i}(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \nu_{j} r_{j}(x) \leq f(x) .
$$

(The second term is non-positive, and the third term is zero.) By taking infimum,

$$
\inf _{x: f \text { easible }} L(x, \lambda, \nu) \leq p^{*}
$$

Thus,

$$
g(\lambda, \nu)=\inf _{x \in \mathcal{D}} L(x, \lambda, \nu) \leq \inf _{x: \text { feasible }} L(x, \lambda, \nu) \leq p^{*}
$$

for any $\lambda \succeq 0, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$.

## Strong Duality

We need some conditions to obtain the strong duality $d^{*}=p^{*}$.

- Convexity of the problem: $f$ and $h_{i}$ are convex, $r_{j}$ are linear.
- Slater's condition

There is $\tilde{x} \in \operatorname{relint} \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$
h_{i}(\tilde{x})<0 \quad(1 \leq \forall i \leq \ell), \quad r_{j}(\tilde{x})=a_{j}^{T} \tilde{x}+b_{j}=0 \quad(1 \leq \forall j \leq m)
$$

## Theorem 2 (Strong duality)

Suppose the primal problem is convex, and Slater's condition holds. Then, there is $\lambda^{*} \geq 0$ and $\nu^{*} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ such that

$$
g\left(\lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right)=d^{*}=p^{*}
$$

Proof is omitted (see [BV04] Sec.5.3.2.).
There are also other conditions to guarantee the strong duality.

## Complementary Slackness I

- Consider the (not necessarily convex) optimization problem:

$$
\min f(x) \quad \text { subject to } \begin{cases}h_{i}(x) \leq 0 & (1 \leq i \leq \ell) \\ r_{j}(x)=0 & (1 \leq j \leq m)\end{cases}
$$

- Assumption: the optimum of the primal/dual problems are given by $x^{*}$ and $\left(\lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right)\left(\lambda^{*} \succeq 0\right)$, and they satisfy the strong duality:

$$
g\left(\lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right)=f\left(x^{*}\right) .
$$

## Complementary Slackness II

- Observation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x^{*}\right)=g\left(\lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right) & =\inf _{x \in \mathcal{D}} L\left(x, \lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right) \quad \text { [definition] } \\
& \leq L\left(x^{*}, \lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right) \\
& =f\left(x^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{i}^{*} h_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \nu_{j}^{*} r_{j}\left(x^{*}\right) \\
& \leq f\left(x^{*}\right) \quad[2 \mathrm{nd} \leq 0 \text { and } 3 \mathrm{rd}=0]
\end{aligned}
$$

The two inequalities are in fact equalities.

## Complementary Slackness III

- Consequence 1:

$$
x^{*} \text { minimizes } L\left(x, \lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right)
$$

(Primal solution by unconstrained optimization)

- Consequence 2:

$$
\lambda_{i}^{*} h_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } i
$$

The latter is called complementary slackness.
Equivalently,

$$
\lambda_{i}^{*}>0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad h_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)=0,
$$

or

$$
h_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)<0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda_{i}^{*}=0
$$

## KKT Condition I

KKT conditions give useful relations between the primal and dual solutions.

- Consider the convex optimization problem. Assume $\mathcal{D}$ is open and $f(x), h_{i}(x)$ are differentiable.

$$
\min f(x) \quad \text { subject to } \begin{cases}h_{i}(x) \leq 0 & (1 \leq i \leq \ell) \\ r_{j}(x)=0 & (1 \leq j \leq m)\end{cases}
$$

- $x^{*}$ and $\left(\lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right)$ : any optimal points of the primal and dual problems.
- Assume strong duality: $f\left(x^{*}\right)=g\left(\lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right)$.
- From Consequence $1\left(x^{*}=\arg \min L\left(x, \lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right)\right)$,

$$
\nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{i}^{*} \nabla g_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \nu_{j}^{*} \nabla r_{j}\left(x^{*}\right)=0
$$

## KKT Condition II

The following are necessary conditions.
Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{i}\left(x^{*}\right) \leq 0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, \ell) \quad \text { [primal constraints] } \\
& r_{j}\left(x^{*}\right)=0 \quad(j=1, \ldots, m) \quad \text { [primal constraints] } \\
& \lambda_{i}^{*} \geq 0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, \ell) \quad \text { [dual constraints] } \\
& \lambda_{i}^{*} h_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)=0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, \ell) \quad \text { [complementary slackness] } \\
& \nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{i}^{*} \nabla g_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \nu_{j}^{*} \nabla r_{j}\left(x^{*}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 3 (KKT condition)

For a convex optimization problem with differentiable functions, $x^{*}$ and ( $\lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}$ ) are the primal-dual solutions with strong duality if and only if they satisfy KKT conditions.

## Example

- Quadratic minimization under equality constraints.

$$
\min \frac{1}{2} x^{T} P x+q^{T} x+r \quad \text { subject to } \quad A x=b .
$$

- KKT conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A x^{*}=b, \quad \text { [primal constraint] } \\
& \nabla_{x} L\left(x^{*}, \nu^{*}\right)=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad P x^{*}+q+A^{T} \nu^{*}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- The solution is given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P & A^{T} \\
A & O
\end{array}\right)\binom{x^{*}}{\nu^{*}}=\binom{-q}{b}
$$
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## Primal Problem of SVM

SVM primal problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{w_{i}, b, \xi_{i}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} w_{i} w_{j} k\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)+C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i}, \\
& \text { subj. to }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Y_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} k\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) w_{j}+b\right) \geq 1-\xi_{i}, \\
\xi_{i} \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The QP for SVM can be solved in the primal form, but the dual form is easier.

## Dual Problem of SVM

SVM Dual problem:

$$
\max _{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} Y_{i} Y_{j} K_{i j} \quad \text { subj. to }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq C \\
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} Y_{i}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $K_{i j}=k\left(X_{i}, X_{i}\right)$.
Solve it by a QP solver.
Note: the constraints are simpler than the primal problem.
Derivation [Exercise].
Hint: Compute the Lagrange dual function $g(\alpha, \beta)$ from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(w, b, \xi, \alpha, \beta)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} w_{i} w_{j} k\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)+C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}\left\{1-Y_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{j} k\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)+b\right)-\xi_{i}\right\}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_{i}\left(-\xi_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## KKT Conditions of SVM

KKT conditions
(1) $1-Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right)-\xi_{i}^{*} \leq 0 \quad(\forall i)$, [primal constraints]
(2) $-\xi_{i}^{*} \leq 0 \quad(\forall i)$, [primal constraints]
(3) $\alpha_{i}^{*} \geq 0, \quad(\forall i)$, [dual constraints]
(4) $\beta_{i}^{*} \geq 0, \quad(\forall i)$, [dual constraints]
(5) $\alpha_{i}^{*}\left(1-Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right)-\xi_{i}^{*}\right)=0 \quad(\forall i)$, [complementary slackness]
(6) $\beta_{i}^{*} \xi_{i}^{*}=0 \quad(\forall i)$, [complementary slackness]
$\begin{aligned} \text { (7) } & \nabla_{w}: \\ \nabla_{b}: & \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{i j} w_{j}^{*}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}^{*} Y_{j}^{*}=0,\end{aligned}$
$\nabla_{\xi}: \quad C-\alpha_{i}^{*}-\beta_{i}^{*}=0 \quad(\forall i)$.

## Solution of SVM

SVM solution in dual form

$$
f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}^{*} Y_{i} k\left(x, X_{i}\right)+b^{*}
$$

(Use KKT condition (7)).
How to solve $b ? \longrightarrow$ shown later.

## Support Vectors I

- Complementary slackness

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{i}^{*}\left(1-Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right)-\xi_{i}^{*}\right)=0 \\
&\left(C-\alpha_{i}^{*}\right) \xi_{i}^{*}=0 \quad(\forall i)
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $\alpha_{i}^{*}=0$, then $\xi_{i}^{*}=0$, and

$$
Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right) \geq 1 . \quad[\text { well separated }]
$$

- Support vectors
- If $0<\alpha_{i}^{*}<C$, then $\xi_{i}^{*}=0$ and

$$
Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right)=1 . \quad[\text { on the margin border] }
$$

- If $\alpha_{i}^{*}=C$,

$$
Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right) \leq 1 . \quad[\text { within the margin }]
$$

## Support Vectors II

Sparse representation: the optimum classifier is expressed only with the support vectors.

$$
f(x)=\sum_{i: \text { support vector }} \alpha_{i}^{*} Y_{i} k\left(x, X_{i}\right)+b^{*}
$$



## How to Solve $b$

- The optimum value of $b$ is given by the complementary slackness.
- For any $i$ with $0<\alpha_{i}^{*}<C$,

$$
Y_{i}\left(\sum_{j} k\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) Y_{j} \alpha_{j}^{*}+b\right)=1
$$

- Use the above relation for any of such $i$, or take the average over all of such $i$.
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## Computational Problem in Solving SVM

- The dual QP problem of SVM has $N$ variables, where $N$ is the sample size.
- If $N$ is very large, say $N=100000$, the optimization is very hard.
- Some approaches have been proposed for optimizing subsets of the variables sequentially.
- Chunking [Vap82]
- Osuna's method [OFG]
- Sequential minimal optimization (SMO) [Pla99]
- SVM ${ }^{\text {light }}$ (http://svmlight.joachims.org/)


## Sequential Minimal Optimization (SMO) I

- Solve small QP problems sequentially for a pair of variables $\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right)$.
- How to choose the pair? - Intuition from the KKT conditions is used.
- After removing $w, \xi$, and $\beta$, the KKT conditions of SVM are equivalent to (see Appendix)

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{i} \alpha_{i}^{*}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad(*)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{i}^{*}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right) \geq 1 \\
0<\alpha_{i}^{*}<C \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right)=1 \\
\alpha_{i}^{*}=C \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right) \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

- The conditions (*) can be checked for each data point.
- Choose such $(i, j)$ that at least one of them breaks (*).


## Sequential Minimal Optimization (SMO) II

The QP problem for $\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right)$ is analytically solvable!

- For simplicity, assume $(i, j)=(1,2)$.
- Constraint of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ :

$$
\alpha_{1}+s_{12} \alpha_{2}=\gamma, \quad 0 \leq \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \leq C,
$$

where $s_{12}=Y_{1} Y_{2}$ and $\gamma= \pm \sum_{\ell \geq 3} Y_{\ell} \alpha_{\ell}$ is constat.

- Objective function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}^{2} K_{11}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2}^{2} K_{22}-s_{12} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} K_{12} \\
& \quad-Y_{1} \alpha_{1} \sum_{j \geq 3} Y_{j} \alpha_{j} K_{1 j}-Y_{2} \alpha_{2} \sum_{j \geq 3} Y_{j} \alpha_{j} K_{2 j}+\text { const. }
\end{aligned}
$$

- This optimization is a quadratic optimization of one variable on an interval. Directly solved.
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## Other Approaches to Optimization of SVM

Recent studies (not a compete list).

- Solution in primal.
- O. Chapelle [Cha07], T. Joachims, SVM ${ }^{\text {perf }}$ [Joa06], S. Shalev-Shwartz et al. [SSSS07], etc.
- Online SVM.
- Tax and Laskov [TL03]
- LaSVM [BEWB05]

```
http://leon.bottou.org/projects/lasvm/
```

- Parallel computation
- Cascade SVM [GCB $\left.{ }^{+} 05\right]$
- Zanni et al [ZSZ06]
- Geometric approach
- Mafrovorakis and Theodoridis [MT06].
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## Overview of Multiclass Classification I

- Multiclass classification:
$\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{N}, Y_{N}\right)$ : data
- $X_{i}$ : explanatory variable
- $Y_{i} \in\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{L}\right\}$ : labels for $L$ classes.
e.g. Digit classification $\rightarrow L=10$.

Make a classifier: $h: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, L\}$.

- The original SVM is applicable only to binary classification problems.
- There are some approaches to extending SVM to multiclass classification.
- Direct construction of a large margin multiclass classifier.
- Combination of binary classifiers.


## Overview of Multiclass Classification II

Various methods (incomplete list).

- Direct approach:
- Multiclass SVM ([CS01],[WW98], [BB99], [LLW] etc.)
- Kernel logistic regression ([ZH02], K.Tanabe, [KDSP05])
- and others
- Combination approach:
- How to divide the problem
- one-vs-rest (one-vs-all)
- one-vs-one
- Error correcting output code (ECOC) [DB95]
- How to combine the binary classifiers
- Hamming decoding
- Bradly-Terry model ([HT98], [HWL06])


## Multiclass SVM I

Multiclass SVM (Crammer \& Singer 2001)

- Large margin criterion is generalized to multiclass cases.
- Efficient optimization.
- Implemented in SVM ${ }^{\text {light }}$.
- Linear classifier for $L$-class classification
- Data: $\left(X_{1}, Y_{i}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{N}, Y_{N}\right), \quad X_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, Y_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, L\}$.
- Classifier:

$$
h(x)=\arg \max _{\ell=1, \ldots, L} w_{\ell}^{T} x
$$

$L$ linear classifiers are used.
(The bias term $b_{\ell}$ is omitted for simplicity.)

- $w_{\ell}^{T} x(\ell=1, \ldots, L)$ is the similarity score for the class $\ell$. The class of the largest similarity is the answer of the classifier.


## Multiclass SVM II

- Margin for multiclass problem:

$$
\operatorname{Margin}_{i}=w_{Y_{i}}^{T} X_{i}-\max _{\ell \neq Y_{i}} w_{\ell}^{T} X_{i} .
$$

- $W=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{L}\right)$ correctly classifies the data $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)$, if and only if Margin $_{i} \geq 0$.
- The scale of the margin must be fixed.
- Primal problem of multiclass SVM:

$$
\min _{W, \xi} \frac{\beta}{2}\|W\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} \quad \text { subj. to } \quad w_{Y_{i}}^{T} X_{i}+\delta_{\ell Y_{i}}-w_{\ell}^{T} X_{i} \geq 1-\xi_{i} \quad(\forall \ell, i)
$$

Note: $\xi_{i}$ represents the break of separability.

- \# dual variable = $N L$. Computational cost must be reduced by some methods.


## Multiclass SVM III

Meaning of margin
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## Combination of Binary Classifiers

- Base classifiers: make use of strong binary classifiers. e.g. SVM, AdaBoost, etc.
- Decomposition of a multiclass classification into binary classifications
- 1-vs-rest
$i$-class vs the other classes : $L$ problems
- 1-vs-1
$i$-class vs $j$-class $(\forall i, j): L(L-1) / 2$ problems
- More general approach = Error correcting output code (ECOC).
ECOC attributes a code for each class.

| class | $f_{1}$ | $f_{2}$ | $f_{3}$ | $f_{4}$ | $f_{5}$ | $f_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{1}$ | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $C_{2}$ | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| $C_{3}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| $C_{4}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 |


| class | $f_{1}$ | $f_{2}$ | $f_{3}$ | $f_{4}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{1}$ | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |  |
| $C_{2}$ | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |  |
| $C_{3}$ | -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |  |
| $C_{4}$ | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |  |
| 1 -vs-rest |  |  |  |  |  |


| class | $f_{1}$ | $f_{2}$ | $f_{3}$ | $f_{4}$ | $f_{5}$ | $f_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $C_{2}$ | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $C_{3}$ | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 |
| $C_{4}$ | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| 1-vs-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Combining Base Classifiers

- Hamming decoding for ECOC:

Let $W_{\ell a}$ be the code of ECOC for the class $\ell$ and classifier
$f_{a}(1 \leq \ell \leq L, 1 \leq a \leq M)$.

$$
h(x)=\arg \min _{\ell}\left\|w_{\ell}-f(x)\right\|_{\text {Hamming }},
$$

where $f(x)=\left(f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{M}(x)\right) \in\{ \pm 1\}^{M}$.
This is equivalent to

$$
h(x)=\arg \max _{\ell} \sum_{a=1}^{M} W_{\ell a} f_{a}(x)
$$

- In the case of one-vs-one, Hamming decoding coincides with majority vote.
- Bradly-Terry model:

A probabilistic model for paired comparison. It can be applied when the output of $f_{i}(x)$ is continuous.
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## Structured Output

- The output of prediction may be structured object, such as label sequences (strings), trees, and graphs.



## Large Margin Approach to Structured Output I

References

- Application to natural language processing [ColO2].
- Max-Margin Markov Network ( $\mathrm{M}^{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) [TGK04].
- Hidden Markov support vector machine [ATH03].

Approach

- $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{N}, Y_{N}\right)$ : data
- $X_{i}$ : input variable,
- $Y_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}$ : structured object.
- Feature vector

$$
F(x, y)=\left(f_{1}(x, y), \ldots, f_{M}(x, y)\right)
$$

Make a classifier: $h: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$

$$
h(x)=\arg \max _{y \in \mathcal{Y}} w^{T} F(x, y)
$$

## Large Margin Approach to Structured Output II

Formulate the problem as a multiclass classification.
Each $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is regarded as a class.

- Multiclass SVM gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{W, \xi} \frac{\beta}{2}\|w\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_{i} \\
& \text { subj. to } \quad w^{T} F\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)+\delta_{y Y_{i}}-w^{T} F\left(X_{i}, y\right) \geq 1-\xi_{i} \quad(\forall i, y \in \mathcal{Y}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Problem:
\# constrains (= \# dual variables) $=|\mathcal{Y}| . \quad$ Prohibitive in many cases!
E.g. for label sequence, $|\mathcal{Y}|=\mid$ Alphabet $\left.\right|^{\text {length }}$.
- The computational cost must be reduced by some methods (e.g. [TGK04, ATH03]).


## Other Topics

- Support vector regression. [MM00]
- $\nu$-SVM: Another formulation of soft margin. [SSWB00]
- $\nu=$ an upper bound on the fraction of margin errors.
- $\nu=$ the lower bound on the fraction of support vectors.
- One-class SVM: (similar to estimating a level set of density function.)
- Large margin approach to ranking.
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## Appendix: Proof of KKT condition

## Proof.

- $x^{*}$ is primal-feasible by the first two conditions.
- From $\lambda_{i}^{*} \geq 0, L\left(x, \lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right)$ is convex (and differentiable).
- The last condition $\nabla_{x} L\left(x^{*}, \lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right)=0$ implies $x^{*}$ is a minimizer.
- It follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(\lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right) & \left.=\inf _{x \in \mathcal{D}} L\left(x, \lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right) \quad \text { [by definition }\right] \\
& =L\left(x^{*}, \lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right) \quad\left[x^{*}: \text { minimizer }\right] \\
& =f\left(x^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{i}^{*} h_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \nu_{j}^{*} r_{j}\left(x^{*}\right) \\
& =f\left(x^{*}\right) \quad\left[\text { complementary slackness and } r_{j}\left(x^{*}\right)=0\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Strong duality holds, and $x^{*}$ and $\left(\lambda^{*}, \nu^{*}\right)$ must be the optimizers.


## Appendix: KKT conditions revisited I

- $\beta$ and $w$ can be removed by

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{\xi}: & \beta_{i}^{*}=C-\alpha_{i}^{*} \quad(\forall i), \\
\nabla_{w}: & \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{i j} w_{j}^{*}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}^{*} Y_{j} K_{i j}
\end{align*}
$$

- From KKT (4) and (6),

$$
\alpha_{i}^{*} \leq C, \quad \xi_{i}^{*}\left(C-\alpha_{i}^{*}\right)=0 \quad(\forall i)
$$

- The KKT conditions are equivalent to
(a) $1-Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right)-\xi_{i}^{*} \leq 0 \quad(\forall i)$,
(b) $\xi_{i}^{*} \geq 0 \quad(\forall i)$,
(c) $0 \leq \alpha_{i}^{*} \leq C \quad(\forall i)$,
(d) $\alpha_{i}^{*}\left(1-Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right)-\xi_{i}^{*}\right)=0 \quad(\forall i)$,
(e) $\xi_{i}^{*}\left(C-\alpha_{i}^{*}\right)=0 \quad(\forall i)$,
(f) $\sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{i} \alpha_{i}^{*}=0$.
and $\beta_{i}=C-\alpha_{i}^{*}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{i j} w_{j}^{*}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}^{*} Y_{j} K_{i j}$.


## Appendix: KKT conditions revisited II

- We can further remove $\xi$.
- Case $\alpha_{i}^{*}=0$ :

From (e), $\xi_{i}^{*}=0$. Then, from (a), $Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right) \geq 1$.

- Case $0<\alpha_{i}^{*}<C$ :

From (e), $\xi_{i}^{*}=0$. From (d), $Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right)=1$.

- Case $\alpha_{i}^{*}=C$ :

From (d) and (b), $\xi_{i}^{*}=1-Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right) \geq 0$.
Note in all cases, (a) and (b) are satisfied.

- The KKT conditions are equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{i} \alpha_{i}^{*}=0, \quad \text { and } \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha_{i}^{*}=0 & \Rightarrow & Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right) \geq 1, & \left(\xi_{i}^{*}=0\right) \\
0<\alpha_{i}^{*}<C & \Rightarrow & Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right)=1, & \left(\xi_{i}^{*}=0\right) \\
\alpha_{i}^{*}=C & \Rightarrow & Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right) \leq 1, & \left(\xi_{i}^{*}=1-Y_{i} f^{*}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

